

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET	
9/369.543	08/06/99	TEMPLE		S	2775	54/35856
-		MM92/0216	\neg	EXAMINER		
AMES P ZELLER				NGUYEN, T		
ARSHALL O'T	OOLE GERSTE	EIN MURRAY & BORU	N .	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
300 SEARS T 33 SOUTH WA HICAGO IL 6	CKER DRIVE			2861 Date Ma		/16/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

• `								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/369,543	TEMPLE, STEP	TEMPLE, STEPHEN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thinh H Nguyen	2861						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress					
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. 	ication. /s, a reply within the statutory minimun	n of thirty (30) days will						
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor communication. 	y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the n	nailing date of this					
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, t Status	by statute, cause the application to bec	ome ABANDONED (3	5 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2/1/</u>	701							
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	prosecution as to	the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under			uic mono is					
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application).							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIF	TED copies of the priority docu	ments have been:						
1.☐ received.								
2.☐ received in Application No. (Series Cod	e / Serial Number)							
3.☐ received in this National Stage application		u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(e).						
Attachment(s)								
14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	17) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)					
 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	· ==	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/369,543

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. Further, Applicant's amendment filed February 1, 2001 have been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo. (JP 4-10948)

Kondo (see figs. 2, 8) discloses the instant claimed color printhead and printing method wherein nozzles being arranged in blocks and repeat patterns so as to print different swath of colors each being wider than a swath printed by a single nozzle side by side or overlap swaths of different colors at any point by controlling the relative shuttle movement of the print head and the ink-receiving medium (see also constitution).

Application/Control Number: 09/369,543 Page 3

Art Unit: 2861

The remaining limitations are deemed to be obvious by the printhead

arrangement and method for controlling the shuttle movement and the ink-receiving

medium of the Kondo ink-jet printer.

As for said printhead being greater than the receiving medium, Kondo suggests

the printhead width can be extended to shorten the time for printing since there will be

less distance for the carrier to shuttle along the shaft. It would have been an obvious

expedience to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

provide a wider printhead as suggested by Kondo thereby to enable a shorter printing

time.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Thinh

Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-7487.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

Thinh Nguyen

February 13, 2001

Thinh Nguyen Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800